

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/773,617  | 02/06/2004                  | Paul L. Hickman      | 13915.74.1.4        | 7761             |
| 22913<br>WORKMAN N                                | 7590 01/24/2008<br>IYDEGGER |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 60 EAST SOU                                       | TH TEMPLE                   |                      | RICHMAN, GLENN E    |                  |
| 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER<br>SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 |                             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   | ,                           |                      | 3764                |                  |
|   | •                           |                      |                     |                  |
|   | •                           |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                             |                      | 01/24/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  |  |   | ·   |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary                                |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10/773,617  | HICKMAN, PAUL L.  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Glenn Richman   | 3764  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply   | ears on the cover sheet wit   | h the correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA | CATION.  sply be timely filed  IHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 No  | ovember 2007.   |   |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u>  | ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3)□  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under E   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.  | 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□                                    | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | vn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |
| ·  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  | r election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |
|  | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r   |   |  |  |  |
| •  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a according to a according to a according to a |   | ov the Examiner.  |  |  |  |
| .0/  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | • • • •   |   |  |  |  |
| 11)□   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | ion is required if the drawing(   | s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12) <u>□</u><br>a)                                   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received. s have been received in Arrity documents have been a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | oplication No<br>received in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachmer  | nt(s)  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Infor                                   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/07.  | Paper No(s  | ummary (PTO-413)<br>)/Mail Date<br>formal Patent Application<br>  |  |  |  |

10/773,617 Art Unit: 3764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of the claims is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Houston.

Houston discloses a frame fig. 1; a housing coupled to and at least partially surrounding said frame 10; a user engagement mechanism coupled to said frame for relative movement with respect thereto 22, said user engagement mechanism comprising at least one of a pedal, a step, a graspable member 22, and a planar surface; a resistance varying mechanism coupled between said frame and associated with said user engagement mechanism abstract, said resistance varying mechanism being operative to cause a change of an exertion by a user engaged with the user engagement mechanism during an exercise session abstract; control circuitry at least partially surrounded by said housing and electrically coupled to said resistance varying mechanism fig. 1, said control circuitry having an input 29; and digital storage device

Application/Control Number:

10/773,617 Art Unit: 3764

storing control signals claim 8, and the voice of a virtual personal trainer, wherein said control signals and said voice of a virtual personal trainer are interrelated col. 5, lines 9 – et seq., said digital storage device being coupled to said input of said control circuitry whereby said exercise apparatus can be operated within an exercise session by varying a resistance of said user engagement mechanism in accordance with said control signals with the accompaniment of said voice of said virtual personal trainer abstract, said digital storage device is at least one of coupled to said frame fig. 1, coupled to said housing, and at least partially surrounded by said housing fig. 1.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Houston.

Houston does not disclose input to said control circuitry includes an input port, and wherein said digital storage device is coupled to said input port by a cable, however, it is well known in the art, to transmit data via a cable and would have been obvious in view of Houston's control system.

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over • Houston in view of Dyer et al.

As for claim 4, as Houston discloses a microprocessor claim 16.

Houston does not specifically disclose said digital storage device comprises at least one of a CD optical digital storage, other forms of optical digital storage, a hard disk magnetic digital storage, other forms of magnetic digital storage, RAM semiconductor digital memory, and other forms of semiconductor digital memory.

Dyer et al disclose said digital storage device comprises at least one of a CD optical digital storage, other forms of optical digital storage, a hard disk magnetic digital storage, other forms of magnetic digital storage, RAM semiconductor digital memory, and other forms of semiconductor digital memory col. 12, lines 54 – et seq.

It would have been obvious to use Dyer et al's storage device with Houston, as it is well known as taught by Dyer et al, to use a digital storage device, for storing control signals of an operating system.

As for claim 5, Dyer further discloses said resistance varying mechanism includes at least one of a brake and a motor 64, and further comprising an output port coupled to at least one of said control circuitry and said input port, which provides audio output signals of at least said voice of said virtual personal trainer col. 11, lines 47 – et seq.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Application/Control Number:

10/773,617 Art Unit: 3764

Claims 6-8, 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dyer et al.

Dyer et al disclose a user engagement mechanism including at least one of a pedal, a step, a graspable member, and a planar surface fig. 1; an exertion varying mechanism 64 coupled to said user engagement mechanism, said exertion varying mechanism being operative to cause a change of an exertion by a user engaged with the user engagement mechanism during an exercise session abstract; and circuitry electrically coupled to said exertion varying mechanism and being operationally receptive to control signals which are provided in correlation with a voice of a virtual personal trainer col. 12, lines 40 - et seq., wherein both said control signals and said voice of a virtual personal trainer are, at least at some point in time, stored together in a digital storage medium col. 12, lines 40 – et seq., whereby said exercise apparatus can be operated within an exercise session with the capability of both varying an exertion of said user and contemporaneously providing said voice of a virtual personal trainer col. 12, lines 40 – et seq., said digital storage medium associated with digital device can derive said control signals and said voice of said virtual personal trainer from said digital storage medium col. 12, lines 40 - et seq., said digital storage medium is selected from the group consisting essentially of magnetic media, optical media, and semiconductor media col. 12, lines 40 - et seq., said circuitry receives said control signals from a server which communicates over a network fig. 5,

Claims 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dyer et al in view of Mertesdorf.

10/773,617 Art Unit: 3764

Dyer does not disclose said optical media is a compact disc (CD).

Mertesdorf discloses compact disc (CD).

It would have been obvious to use Mertesdorf's CD with Dyer et al's media storage, as it is well known as taught by Mertesdorf, to use a CD, for storing audible feedback in an exercise system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Richman whose telephone number is 571-272-4981. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LoAn Thanh can be reached on (571)272-4966. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn Richman Primary Examiner . Application/Control Number: 10/773,617 Art Unit: 3764

Page 7

Art Unit 3764